

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,724 09/28/2001		Jean-Marie Aubry	2001-1443A	7009
	10/01/2003		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			PRICE, ELVIS O	
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006-1021		1621	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	/3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Š.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/937,724	AUBRY ET AL.			
1	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Elvis O. Price	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		•			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared to the control of the cont	e) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[lld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)	☐ approved or b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 10. Other: SUFERVISORY PA GROUP	COCCOLO TICHIEN TENT EXAMINER				

)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: they do not place the case in condition for allowance as the amendment(s) as some of the dependent claims were rewritten in independent form..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant arguments are not convincing to overcome the Final rejection, issured 5/8/03. Applicants argue that the Van Laar reference cannot prima facie prove that the Barton reaction proceeds via singlet oxygen since the homogeneous molybdate catalyst system, taught by Van Laar, requires the presence of alkaline conditions and Barton uses a molydate catalyst in the absence of water and a base. This is not persuasive because the catalyst used by Barton is ammonium molybdate, which is basic in nature. Applicants argue that reaction of benzamide with hydrogen-peroxide and molydate does not proceed via singlet oxygen because the product formed from the said reaction is a quinone while the product formed by the singlet oxidation of benzamide is a hydroxy-dienone. This argument is not convincing because Barton disclose that a mixture of two products, the hydroxy-dienone and quinone are formed by the singlet oxidation of benzamide (see Col. 1 on page 1612).